Approved For Release 2005/01/27: CIA-RDP75-00149R000700540030-6

Committee Rejects Motion to Remove Truman from Panel

Defense and Prosecution
Join in Ouster Attenual

by Michael Rothfeld

The tri-partite committee hearing the cases of nineteen CIA protestors defeated Tuesday; bigardisan move to unse t Dean David D. Truman from the board.

The move ws in tiated by the students' principal defense councel Carl Rachlin, who reiterated his previous demand that Dean Trum n be removed from the panel for allegedly "pre-judging" the case. In a surprise concession, the attorney for the University, Prof. William K. Jones of the Law School, joined with Mr. I willia in recommending to the committee that the Dean be disqualified from the proceedings

After a hastily clied recess lasting nearly two hours, committee ch irman Curtis J. Berger, professor of law, announced that the panel was "satisfied" with Dean Truman's impartiality in the case and that the Dean would be permitted to retain his position on the board.

It is understood that the decision to allow Dean Truman to remain on the committee w sreached only after a heated struggle mong the panel members.

Other members of the tri-partite committee, composed of two admin stration represent tives, two faculty members, and two students, include: Aaron Warner, professor of economics; Kevin Sullivan, associate de nof Gradu te Faculties; Duvid Lugsam '67. pres dent of the Columbia University Student Gouncil; and David Ment, a To chers College student and formar CUSC officer.

The committee was authorized by President Grayson Kirk to invastigate the students' c: ses ind to report its findings to him for that disposit on.

The immediate grounds for the motion to dismiss De n Truman was a lotter mailed the province of the control who perficipated in the

Fab. 6 s.t-in informing them of

Spectator 12

Truman to Remain On Tri-Partite Panel

(Continued from Page 1)

them. Although the letter was sent out over former Associate Dean. John W. Alexander's signature, it was issued upon the direction of the De n's Office, according to Dean Truman.

In asking for Dean Truman's removal from the board, Mr. Rachlin
explained that his action was "in
no way a reflection on the Dean's
character, but that it is evident that
in this case Dean Truman has already made up his mind." Professor Jones stated that he supported the motion because "we have
to avoid not only impropriety,"